UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,052	06/05/2007	Jianjun Cui	15544NP	7244
293 DOWELL & D	7590 03/25/201 OWELL P.C.	EXAMINER		
103 Oronoco St. Suite 220 Alexandria, VA 22314			DEMILLE, DANTON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/593,052	CUI, JIANJUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Danton DeMille	3771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>7</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-5-07, 8-26-09, 1-20-10. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow (US 67,832).

Winslow teaches a myopia therapy appliance, characterized in that the appliance comprises a suction head a and a handle C. To any extent applicant's element 2 is a handle so is the element C of Winslow. When manipulating the instant invention, it would appear one would manipulate the device by the larger diameter portion 1 of the section head 1. The user would have better control of the precise placement that is required fit the device onto the eyeball by directly manipulating the suction head itself. Moreover, when the suction head is part of the blinder, the blinder itself becomes the handle of the device. The element 2 is merely the stem where the blowhole is located to control the air pressure within the suction head a. While the element C is a mouthpiece, it would also be manipulated during use of the device to any extent applicant's element 2 does. Winslow teaches the handle C has a blowhole in the wall of the handle. Winslow teaches the suction cup has a round opening. While Winslow appears silent with regard to whether or not the opening is a flat opening, such is well within the realm of the artisan of ordinary skill. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Art Unit: 3771

Winslow to shape the opening of the suction cup to be flat or any shape as desired or required in order to provide a seal against the eye of the user.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, the exact size of the suction cups are well within the realm of the artisan of ordinary skill in order to find the optimum dimensions to perform the desired function.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winslow in view of Chuan (CA 2,189,828).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Winslow to mount the suction cups on a blinder as taught by Chuan as a more convenient way of supporting the suction cups on the eyes of the user.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the exact size of the suction cups are well within the realm of the artisan of ordinary skill in order to find the optimum dimensions to perform the desired function.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danton DeMille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4974. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

Art Unit: 3771

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

25 March 2010

/Danton DeMille/
Danton DeMille
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3771